US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

		Shaughnessy #: 079407				
	-	EFB Logout Date: 18 APR 1984				
		Init.: # 5				
To:	George La Rocca Product Manager #15 Registration Division (TS-767)	A state of the sta				
From:	L.A. Richardson, Chief Environmental Chemistry Review S Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-	Section #3				
Attach	ed please find the EAB review of	• • •				
Reg./F	ile No.: 11678-5					
Chemica	al: Endosulfan					
Type P	roduct: I	ti destretamente transcribita e anteriore, anticonomo, e proquente proprieta de partir de partir de partir de p				
Produc	t Name: Makhteshim-Agan Inc.					
Company	y Name: Response to RS (Adsorpt	ion/Desorption Study)				
Submis	sion Purpose:					
ZBB Coo	de:	ACTION CODE: 655				
Date In	n: 2/28/84	EFB # 4212				
Date Co	ompleted: 4/16/84	TAIS (level II) Days				
Deferra	als To:	1				
·	Ecological Effects Branch	Reviewer: Patricia Ott				
	Residue Chemistry Branch	Catriva Ott				
	Toxicology Branch					
		,				

## Endosulfan Adsorption/Desorption Study

Reference:

Hoe 002671, adsorption/desorption in the soil/water system, submitted by Makhteshim-Agan Inc. Corporation. EPA Reg. No. 11678-5, cover memo dated January 9, 1984.

# Conclusions:

This study partially fulfills the leaching requirement by providing information for adsorption/desorption for the parent compound, endosulfan. Endosulfan binds strongly to two sands ( $K_d$  29-72) and a sandy loam ( $K_d$  = 33) containing from 0.8% to 2.58% organic carbon. Desorption was < 3%.

This study does not completely fulfill the registration requirement for the following reasons:

- l. Workers failed to provide adsorption coefficients ( $K_d$ ) for degradates.
- 2. No  $K_{\rm d}$  values for parent and degradates were provided for an aquatic sediment, which is required for the aquatic food use (watercress).

### Materials and Methods:

Four concentrations of radiolabeled endosulfan in a 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution were equilibrated with each of three soils: two sands and a sandy loam. After centrifugation, the water phase was analyzed by liquid scintillation counting.

#### Reported Results:

Kd	Soil/Water (22°C)	K <sub>OC</sub> Soil/Water (22°C)				
Sand	29+8	3600+1000				
(0.8% org. C)	72+20	2800 <u>+</u> 800				
(2.58% org. (Sandy Loam	33 <u>+</u> 7	3300 <u>+</u> 700				

Concentration range: 0.04 umol-1.04 umol/1

Desorption: <3% Kdes 7xK)

### Discussion:

- 1. Two of the three soils selected were sands. Sand is an acceptable soil for one soil, as well as the sandy loam, which was the third soil studied. Instead of a second sand, another soil type, such as clay or clay loam should have been chosen. However, since endosulfan adsorbs appreciably to sand, this objection is not critical for endosulfan.
- 2. It is not good laboratory practice to evaporate pesticide samples to dryness because losses can occur, unless oil/fat is present.

REGISTRATION DIVISION DATA REVIEW RECORD  Confidential Business Information — Does Not Contain National Security Information (E.O. 12065)										56 74   }	
1.	CHEMICAL NAME ENDO	50	L FAI	V	·	.,	<del> </del>		<del>y ny faja zia izana i</del>	<u> </u>	
			3. ACTION		4. ACCESSION NUMBER			TO BE COMPLETED BY PM			
11678-5 6			555		252227		7	5. RECORD NUMBER			
						<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		6. REFER	ENCE NUMBE	R	
		-	<del>mykonkanykonykony eterory</del>		<u></u>		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7. DATE R	10/8	PA) /	
				<del> </del>	And the state of t			8. STATUTORY DUE DATE			
				-		<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		9. PRODU		R (PM)	
								10. PM TE	AM NUMBER		
14	I. CHECK IF APPLICABLE	<del>- mand 1.1</del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>				· TO BE	COMPLETED	BY PCB	
☐ Public Health/Quarantine			Minor Use					11. DATE SENT TO HED/TSS			
	Substitute Chemical	49 -	☐ Part of IPM		ah		46	12. PRIGRITY NUMBER			
	Seasonal Concern		□ R	eview Requires	Less Than 4	Hours	NED!	13. PROJECTED RETURN DATE			
15	. INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER	<del>-  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -</del>	·		F. INSTRU	CTIONS	1 _ 1 ·	= 0	L. T	<u></u>	
	A. HED  Total Assessment - 3			BFSD	Kily.	morrow	dard/	<u> </u>	allapore	4	
	Li Incremental Risk Ass 3(c)(7) and/or E.L. J memo of May 12, 19	ohnson	•	TSS/RD	-desorption soil/water					ter	
	B. SPRD (Send-Copy of Form to		)							All and the second seco	
	Chemical Undergoing RPAR Review	•									
Chemical Undergoing Active Registration Standards Review											
16	RELATED ACTIONS	7 -	- 3 l	<i>Q</i> Li	7 6	718	) LL	•			
	Acc. Nos	42	<i>←</i> 1	07.	` & J	A10					
1.7	'. 3(c)(1)(D)  Use Any or All Available Information	ation [	Use Only	Attached Data	18. REVIEWS SENT TO		EEB	□ EF M PL			
Use Any or All Available Information Use Only the Attached Data for Formulatio Available Information on the Technical or N		on and Any Manufacturi	on and Any or All Manufacturing Chemical.		□ RCB □ EFB		☐ CH ☐ BFSD				
				+ -			OF ACTIONS	4			
19.	To TYPE OF REVIEW		Registration	Petition	EUP	SLN	Sec. 18	Inert	MNR. USE	Other	
	TOXICOLOGY									·	
HED	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS										
Ξ	RESIDUE CHEMISTRY				-	,					
	ENVIRONMENTAL DATE	-			-		-				
RD/TSS	CHEMISTRY									·	
	EFFICACY			-		,			·		
4	PRECAUTIONARY LABELING	1 4 . <del>1</del> .								•	
ағғы	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS		<del></del>	*							
Label Submitted 20. Unified with Application Attached  Confidential 21. Statement of Formula  Confidential 22. Labels Showing Accepted Uses Attached  Representative 23. Date Returned to RD (to be completed by HED)  Copies of This Completed Formula for Each Branch Checked fo Review.								mpleted Form			

EPA Form 9570 12 (Dm. 11 01) partitous controls to